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#### Abstract

The four unsaturated $\alpha$-fluoro alcohols, 3-fluoro-1-[( $p$-tolyl)sulphinyl]hept-5-en-2-ols and 3-fluoro6 -methyl-1-[( $p$-tolyl) sulphinyl]hept-5-en-2-ols 3 having the ( $2 S, 3 S, R_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) or ( $2 S, 3 R, R_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) absolute configuration, gave the corresponding 6-methyl- or 6,6-dimethyl-substituted 5 -chloromercurio-3-fluoro-2-[( $p$-tolyl)sulphinyl]tetrahydropyrans 5 and 6 and the 5-[1'-(chloromercurio)ethyl]-3-fluoro-2-[(p-tolyl)sulphinyl]tetrahydrofurans 4 by 'endo' or 'exo' cyclooxymercuriation promoted by mercury(II) trifluoroacetate. All the synthesized compounds have been isolated in optically pure form and their structure, absolute configuration, and preferred conformation have been established by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR studies. Elaboration of the chloromercurio and sulphinylmethyl substituents on a couple of diastereoisomeric tetrahydropyrans 6 allowed us to obtain the corresponding 3-fluoro-2-hydroxymethyltetrahydropyrans 11.


Olefinic cyclization processes leading to the formation of heterocyclic systems are of continuing interest. From a theoretical point of view they often allow the analysis of the role of stereoelectronic effects in determining reaction pathways to be undertaken, and in their application they are a powerful tool for the synthesis of complex and multifunctional systems. ${ }^{2}$

Three- to seven-membered rings containing oxygen, nitrogen and sulphur atoms have been obtained through the nucleophilic attack of the heteroatom on the activated double bond. Several electrophilic species have been employed to attain such an activation, e.g. halogens (mainly selenium species), protons, carbocations and metal cations [mainly mercury(iI) salts].

As a part of our continuing interest in the asymmetric synthesis of selectively fluorinated compounds, we have studied and already reported on the iodocyclization ${ }^{3}$ and mercuriocyclization ${ }^{4}$ of several hydroxyalkenes carrying fluorine atoms.

In this paper we describe the mercuriocyclization of four homochiral heptenols 3 , the 3-fluoro-1-[( $p$-tolyl)sulphinyl]hept5 -en-2-ols 3a and the 3-fluoro-6-methyl-1-[( $p$-tolyl)sulphinyl]-hept-5-en-2-ols 3b having the $\left(2 S, 3 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ and $\left(2 S, 3 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ absolute configurations, to give the 3-fluoro-tetrahydrofurans 4 and -tetrahydropyrans 5 and 6.

Some elaboration reactions of these compounds to give homochiral and selectively fluorinated oxygen heterocycles which do not contain mercury and sulphur are also reported.

## Results and Discussion

Intramolecular Oxymercuriation Reactions.-The 3-fluoro-1[( $p$-tolyl)sulphinyl]hept-5-en-2-ones 2a having the $3 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}$ and $3 S, R_{\mathbf{S}}$ absolute configurations were obtained ${ }^{5}$ through alkylation of the dilithium derivative of $(R)$-1-fluoro-3-[ $(p-$ tolyl)sulphinyl]acetone $1^{6}$ with 1 -bromobut-2-ene ( $76 \%$ yield, $1: 1$ diastereoisomer ratio) (Scheme 1).

Similarly, the 6-methyl analogues ( $3 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}$ )- and ( $3 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}$ )-2b were formed when 1 -bromo-3-methylbut-2-ene was used to alkylate the dianion of compound 1.

Single diastereoisomers of the ketones $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{b}$ were isolated in pure form through flash chromatography and were reduced with diisobutylaluminium hydride (DIBAL). The corresponding alcohols 3a,b always having the $S$ absolute configuration at the newly formed carbon stereocentre were formed in high yield and with complete diastereoselection.

The mercuriocyclization of these four alcohols was performed by using mercury(II) trifluoroacetate in tetrahydrofuran (THF) solution and the chloromercurio-substituted heterocycles 4-6 were isolated in enantiomerically and diastereoisomerically pure form after exchange of the trifluoroacetoxy group with chlorine (potassium chloride in aq. solution).

The cyclization of the two diastereoisomeric alcohols 3a having the opposite absolute configuration at $\mathrm{C}-3$ occurred in very high yield to give the tetrahydrofurans 4 and the tetrahydropyrans 5 with good regioselectivity (Scheme 2).

For both substrates the 5-exo-trig ${ }^{7}$ process, leading to tetrahydrofurans 4, was favoured with respect to the 6-endotrig one, leading to tetrahydropyrans 5 [regioisomer ratio 6.7:3.3 for $\left(2 S, 3 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$-3a and 8.1:1.9 for $\left.\left(2 S, 3 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-3 \mathrm{a}\right]$. The cyclization of the two diastereoisomeric alcohols 3b having the $\left(2 S, 3 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ and $\left(2 S, 3 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ absolute configurations occurred with complete regioselectivity. Starting from both these alcoholic substrates the tetrahydropyrans 6 were exclusively formed through a 6-endo-trig process $\dagger$ (Scheme 3).

According to Baldwin's rules both the 5-exo-trig processes and the 6-endo-trig pathways are favoured. The regioselectivity observed in the cyclization of the $\Delta^{4}$-alcohols 3a-c may, however, be interpreted by considering the site of preferential localization of the positive charge in the intermediate mercurinium ion. According to Markovnikov, such localization occurs preferentially on the most substituted carbon, i.e. on C-5 for $\mathbf{3 c}$ and on $\mathbf{C}-6$ for $\mathbf{3 b}$, thus rationalizing the exclusive formation of tetrahydro-furans and -pyrans starting from compounds $\mathbf{3 c}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$, respectively.

No such preferential localization operates for compound 3a, which gives a mixture of 5 - and 6-membered heterocyclic products. ${ }^{8}$ Starting from $\left(2 S, 3 R, R_{\mathbf{s}}\right)-3 \mathrm{a}$, the prevailing diastereoisomers of the cyclization products 4 and 5 derived from attack of the hydroxy group on the si-si face of the olefinic double bond (de $50 \%$ for 4 and $>95 \%$ for 5 ). A similar preferential attack on the si face occurred in the cyclization of ( $2 S, 3 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}$ )-3b,c (de $>95 \%$ for 6 and $>95 \%$ for 7 ).

In a complementary way the alcohols ( $2 S, 3 S, R_{\mathrm{s}}$ )-3a, c, having the opposite absolute configuration at the fluorinated carbon
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with respect to the alcohols considered above, cyclized with a preferential entrance of the hydroxy group on the re-re and re face (de $15 \%$ for 4 and $67 \%$ for 7), while the hydroxy group of $\left(2 S, 3 S, R_{\mathrm{s}}\right)-3 \mathrm{~b}$ entered by choice on the si face (de $53 \%$ ). The data reported above suggest that the diastereoselection of the process is mainly determined by the configuration at $\mathrm{C}-3$ (the atom which bears the highly electronegative fluorine substituent), the regioselectivity of the cyclization (5-exo vs 6-endo processes) playing a minor role. The formation of 3,5-trans-disubstituted products is favoured for both tetrahydro-furans and -pyrans, products 5 and 6.

Elaboration of Tetrahydropyrans 6.-In order to investigate the synthetic potentials of the mercurio-substituted heterocycles described above, we have studied some functional group elaborations of the chloromercurio and sulphinyl residues of the tetrahydropyrans 6 (Scheme 4). The reductive demercuriation of $\left(2 S, 3 R, 5 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-6$ was performed in nearly quantitative yield with sodium borohydride. The ( $2 S, 3 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}$ )-3-fluoro-2-(p-tolyl-sulphinyl)methyl-tetrahydropyran 8 thus obtained was treated with trifluoroacetic anhydride (TFAA) and 2,4,6-trimethylpyridine to give the geminal trifluoroacetoxy-sulphenyl intermediate 9 through a clean Pummerer rearrangement. This masked aldehyde was not isolated but was unmasked in situ to give the tetrahydropyran-2-carbaldehyde 10 , which was directly reduced to the $(2 R, 3 R)$-3-fluoro-2-hydroxymethyl-6,6dimethyltetrahydrofuran 11. A similar reaction sequence allowed us to obtain the epimer tetrahydropyran $(2 R, 3 S)-11$ in $64 \%$ overall yield starting from $\left(2 S, 3 S, 5 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-6$.

Stereostructural and Conformational Assignments.-All the compounds in this work presented spectral characteristics in accord with the proposed structures. The absolute configuration at the fluorinated stereocentre in the 3-fluoro-1-sulphinylheptenones $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{b}$ was assigned from the well established correlation ${ }^{9}$ existing between the relative stereochemistry at the sulphur and carbon stereocentres and the chemical-shift values of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ methylene protons in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra (Experimental section). The protons of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ group are


Scheme 4 Reagents and conditions: i, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}-3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3} \mathrm{NaOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ii, TFAA-2,4,6-trimethylpyridine- MeCN , room temp.; iii, $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{MeCN}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; iv, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}-\mathrm{MeCN}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 1 Selected ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) and coupling constants ( $J / \mathrm{Hz}$ ) for compounds 4 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Numbering as shown in Scheme 2 .

| Proton | $(2 S, 3 R, 5 R, 7 S)$ | $(2 S, 3 R, 5 S, 7 R)$ | ( $2 S, 3 S, 5 R, 7 S$ ) | ( $2 S, 3 S, 5 S, 7 R)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \beta$ | 4.31 | 4.64 | 4.65 | 4.82 |
| 3 | 5.00 ( $\beta$ ) | 5.11 ( $\beta$ ) | 5.09 ( $\alpha$ ) | 5.12 ( $\alpha$ ) |
| $4 \alpha$ | 2.02 | 2.50 | 1.68 | 2.43 |
| $4 \beta$ | 2.51 | 1.81 | 2.47 | 2.09 |
| 5 | 4.36 ( $\beta$ ) | 4.59 ( $\alpha$ ) | 4.56 ( $\beta$ ) | 4.42 (x) |
| 6a | 3.06 | 3.01 | 3.00 | 2.81 |
| 6b | 3.03 | 2.97 | 2.70 | 2.72 |
| 7 | 3.15 | 3.02 | 3.22 | 3.02 |
| 8 | 1.44 | 1.48 | 1.43 | 1.46 |
| $J^{a}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2 $\beta$, $3 \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ |  |  | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| $2 \beta, 3 \beta$ | 2.7 | 3.0 |  |  |
| $2 \beta, 6 \mathrm{a}$ | $b$ | 4.1 | 4.2 | 5.6 |
| $2 \beta, 6 \mathrm{~b}$ | $b$ | 8.2 | 9.1 | 8.5 |
| $2 \beta, F$ | $b$ | 27.4 | 26.9 | 18.7 |
| $3 \alpha, 4 \alpha$ |  |  | 5.1 | 5.6 |
| $3 \alpha, 4 \beta$ |  |  | 1.1 | 1.7 |
| $3 \alpha, F$ |  |  | 53.2 | 53.5 |
| $3 \beta, 4 \alpha$ | 1.0 | 0.9 |  |  |
| $3 \beta, 4 \beta$ | 5.5 | 3.8 |  |  |
| $3 \beta, F$ | 53.6 | 52.8 |  |  |
| $4 \alpha, 4 \beta$ | 15.0 | 13.7 | 13.9 | 15.0 |
| $4 \alpha, 5 \alpha$ |  | 5.2 |  | 8.2 |
| $4 \alpha, 5 \beta$ | 5.8 |  | 10.8 |  |
| $4 \alpha, F$ | 30.8 | 18.2 | 37.0 | 32.5 |
| 4 $\beta$, 5 ¢ |  | 10.5 |  | 5.2 |
| $4 \beta, 5 \beta$ | 8.2 |  | 4.5 |  |
| 4 $\beta$, F | 33.6 | 40.5 | 19.8 | 27.9 |
| $5 \alpha, 7$ |  | 5.8 |  | 5.5 |
| 5ß,7 | 4.7 |  | 5.0 |  |
| 6a,6b | $b$ | 13.2 | 12.9 | 13.1 |
| 7,8 | 7.5 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 7.5 |

${ }^{a}$ The ${ }^{4} J(5-\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{F})$ and ${ }^{4} J\left(6-\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{~F}\right)$ range between 0 and $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz} .{ }^{b}$ Not assigned.
markedly anisochronous in the ( $3 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}$ )-diastereoisomers ( $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ 0.28 and 0.27 ppm ) while they are nearly isochronous in the $3 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}$ ones. The absolute configuration at the hydroxylated stereocentre in the 3 -fluoro-1-sulphinylheptenols 3a,b was derived from analysis of some parameters of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra of these compounds (Experimental section) which allowed us to assign the relative stereochemistry of the fluorohydrin system. As already observed in related derivatives, ${ }^{5}$ the anti-isomers $\left(2 S, 3 S, R_{\mathrm{s}}\right)-3 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}^{10}$ showed, with respect to the corresponding $s y n$-isomers $\left(2 S, 3 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-3 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, the fluorine resonances at lower fields ( $\delta_{\mathrm{F}}-192.57$ and -191.95 vs. -196.18 and -195.40 ), greater three-bond coupling constants
between the C-2 and C-3 methine protons ( 5.8 and 5.9 vs. 2.8 and 2.9 Hz ), lower three-bond couplings between $2-\mathrm{H}$ and $3-\mathrm{F}$ ( 11.6 and 11.8 vs . 22.3 and 22.1 Hz ), and well resolved longrange couplings between $3-\mathrm{F}$ and the $\mathrm{C}-1$ methylene protons ( 1.6 and 0.7 vs. ca. 0 Hz ).

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR resonances of the tetrahydro-furans 4 and -pyrans 5, 6, 8 and 11 have been completely assigned and are reported in Tables 1-3. These assignments were made mainly on the basis of the coupling patterns derived from 'H NMR studies, supported by nuclear Overhauser effects (NOEs), extensive decoupling experiments, and chemical-shift criteria.

The tetrahydrofurans 4 were readily distinguished from the

Table 2 Selected ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) for compounds $5,6,8$ and 11 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Numbering as shown in Schemes 2-4.

| Proton | 5 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  | 8 |  | 11 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2 S, 3 R, 5 R, 6 S$ | 2S,3S,5S,6R | $2 S, 3 S, 5 R, 6 S$ | $2 S, 3 R, 5 R$ | 2S,3R,5S | 2S, 3 S, 5 R | 2S,3S,5S | $2 S, 3 R$ | 2S,3S | 2R,3R | $2 R, 3 S$ |
| $2 \beta$ | 4.15 | 4.47 | 3.99 | 4.29 | 4.27 | 4.19 | 4.26 | 4.22 | 4.12 | 3.70 | 3.58 |
| 3 | 4.41 ( $\beta$ ) | 4.44 ( $\alpha$ ) | 4.08 (x) | 4.38 ( $\beta$ ) | 4.48 ( $\beta$ ) | 4.10 ( $\alpha$ ) | 4.33 ( $\alpha$ ) | 4.39 ( $\beta$ ) | 4.14 (x) | 4.54 ( $\beta$ ) | 4.37 ( $\alpha$ ) |
| $4 \alpha$ | 2.57 | 2.38 | 2.65 | 2.51 | 2.49 | 2.49 | 2.55 | 2.05 | 2.07 | 2.05 | 2.07 |
| $4 \beta$ | 2.32 | 2.45 | 2.35 | 2.42 | 2.56 | 2.38 | 2.51 | 1.85 | 1.89 | 1.81 | 1.84 |
| $5 \alpha$ | 2.84 |  | 2.75 | 3.05 |  | 2.70 |  | 1.85 | 1.65 | 1.85 | 1.59 |
| $5 \beta$ |  | 2.94 |  |  | 2.66 |  | 3.05 | 1.37 | 1.70 | 1.36 | 1.64 |
| 6 | 4.00 ( $\beta$ ) | 4.20 ( $\alpha$ ) | 3.92 ( $\beta$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 a | 3.00 | 3.01 | 3.20 | 2.95 | 3.03 | 3.17 | 3.19 | 3.01 | 3.19 | 3.80 | 3.79 |
| 7b | 2.82 | 3.01 | 2.69 | 2.80 | 2.85 | 2.64 | 2.73 | 2.83 | 2.66 | 3.75 | 3.66 |
| 8 | 1.43 | 1.36 | 1.38 | 1.47 | 1.48 | 1.43 | 1.46 | 1.32 | 1.27 | 1.28 | 1.23 |
| 9 |  |  |  | 1.53 | 1.44 | 1.56 | 1.49 | 1.32 | 1.36 | 1.23 | 1.27 |

Table 3 Selected ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR coupling constants $(J / \mathrm{Hz})$ for compounds 5 and 6 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Numbering as shown in Schemes 2 and 3.

| $J$ | 5 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2 S, 3 R, 5 R, 6 S$ | $2 S, 3 S, 5 S, 6 R$ | 2S,3S,5R,6S | $2 S, 3 R, 5 R^{a}$ | 2S,3R,5S | 2S,3S,5R | $2 S, 3 S, 5 S^{a}$ |
| 2阝, $3 \alpha$ |  | 4.2 | 9.5 |  |  | 9.5 | 9.2 |
| $2 \beta, 3 \beta$ | 1.1 |  |  | 1.2 | 1.1 |  |  |
| $2 \beta, 7 \mathrm{a}$ | 10.5 | $b$ | $b$ | 9.6 | 10.5 | 1.9 | 3.2 |
| $2 \beta, 7 \mathrm{~b}$ | 2.4 | $b$ | 10.2 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 10.2 | 8.2 |
| $2 \beta, F$ | 28.6 | 10.7 | $b$ | 30.2 | 32.4 | $b$ | 4.9 |
| $3 \alpha, 4 \alpha$ |  | 3.3 | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 4.9 |
| $3 \alpha, 4 \beta$ |  | 6.2 | 10.0 |  |  | 10.1 | 9.9 |
| $3 \alpha, F$ |  | 47.8 | 48.0 |  |  | $b$ | 48.0 |
| $3 \beta, 4 \alpha$ | 3.2 |  |  | 3.1 | 3.2 |  |  |
| $3 \beta, 4 \beta$ | 2.2 |  |  | 2.3 | 2.4 |  |  |
| 3ß,F | 47.5 |  |  | 47.8 | 49.0 |  |  |
| $4 \alpha, 4 \beta$ | 14.5 | 14.5 | $b$ | 15.0 | $b$ | $b$ | 13.4 |
| $4 \alpha, 5 \alpha$ | 3.8 |  | $b$ | 4.4 |  | 4.1 |  |
| $4 \alpha, 5 \beta$ |  | 9.7 |  |  | 2.7 |  | 3.5 |
| $4 \alpha, F$ | 10.7 | 28.0 | $b$ | 12.6 | $b$ | $b$ | 6.1 |
| 4 $\beta$, $5 \alpha$ | 13.6 |  | $b$ | 13.5 |  | 13.8 |  |
| $4 \beta, 5 \beta$ |  | 4.3 |  |  | 4.6 |  | 4.2 |
| $4 \beta, F$ | 41.5 | $b$ | $b$ | 41.8 | $b$ | $b$ | 11.2 |
| $5 \alpha, 6 \beta$ | 11.0 |  | 10.9 |  |  |  |  |
| $5 \alpha, 8$ | $<0.5$ |  | <0.5 | 0.8 |  | 0.8 |  |
| $5 \alpha, \mathrm{~F}$ | <0.5 |  | $b$ | <0.5 |  | 1.2 |  |
| 5 $3,6 \alpha$ |  | 7.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 $\beta$, F |  | 1.2 |  |  | $<0.5$ |  | 3.4 |
| $6 x, 8$ |  | 6.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 6,8 | 6.0 |  | 6.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 7a,7b | 13.2 | $b$ | 13.1 | 12.8 | 13.2 | 12.8 | 12.8 |
| 7a,F | 1.1 | $b$ | $b$ | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 |
| 7b,F | $<0.5$ | $b$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | 0.6 |

${ }^{a}$ Coupling constants obtained in $\left[{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right]$ acetone. ${ }^{b}$ Not assigned.


| $\left(2 S, 3 R, 5 R, 6 S, \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{S}}\right)-5$ | $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{HgCl}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\left(2 S, 3 R, 5 R, R_{\mathbf{S}}\right)-6$ | $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathbf{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathbf{H g C l}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathbf{M e}$ |
| $\left(2 S, 3 R, 5 S, R_{\mathbf{S}}\right)-6$ | $\mathbf{R}^{1}=\mathbf{H g C l}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Me}$ |

Fig. 1 Preferred conformations for tetrahydropyrans 5 and 6 having $\mathrm{C}-2$ and $\mathrm{C}-3$ substituents cis-disposed
tetrahydropyrans 5 , since in the former class the 5 -methine proton resonates at lower field than the corresponding proton in the latter class ( $\delta 4.3-4.6 \mathrm{vs} .2 .7-3.0$ ). An analogous trend was observed for the C-5 carbon atom in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of the compounds obtained from $\left(2 S, 3 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$-3a (Experimental section). The assignment of the absolute configuration at $\mathrm{C}-5$ in
compounds 4 and 6 , and at C-5 and C-6 in compounds 5 , followed from the magnitude of the coupling constants of the protons of the five- and six-membered rings (Tables 1 and 3 ), from NOE results and from the fact that the absolute stereochemistries at C-2 and C-3 are fixed from their synthetic origin. The above data permit the assignment of the preferred conformation in the majority of compounds.

In compound $\left(2 S, 3 R, 5 R, R_{\mathbf{S}}\right)-6$, having, as do the following two derivatives, the $\mathrm{C}-2$ and $\mathrm{C}-3$ substituents cis-disposed, the NOEs observed for $2-\mathrm{H}^{\beta}(12 \%)$ and $4-\mathrm{H}$ at $\delta 2.42(3 \%)$ upon irradiation of the 9 -methyl protons at $\delta 1.53$ indicate that these protons must be on the same $\beta$-face of the tetrahydropyran ring, as shown in Fig. 1.

The coupling constants of 41.8 and 13.5 Hz , observed between $3-\mathrm{F}^{\alpha}$ and $4-\mathrm{H}^{\beta}$ and $4-\mathrm{H}^{\beta}$ and $5-\mathrm{H}$, are consistent with axial-axial relationships between these atoms, thus allowing the assignment of the chirality at $\mathrm{C}-5$ as $R$. Similar NOE enhancements observed for $2-\mathrm{H}^{\beta}(12.5 \%)$ and $4-\mathrm{H}^{\beta}(2 \%)$ upon irradiation of $9-\mathrm{H}_{3}$, together with the values of 2.7 and 4.6 Hz observed between $4-\mathrm{H}^{\alpha}$ and $5-\mathrm{H}$, and $4-\mathrm{H}^{\beta}$ and $5-\mathrm{H}$, indicate that in
compound ( $2 S, 3 R, 5 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}$ )-6 the tetrahydropyran ring preferentially adopts the chair conformation shown in Fig. 1 in which the $5-\mathrm{HgCl}$ grouping is $\alpha$-axially disposed. It follows that the chirality at C-5 is $S$.
In compound ( $2 S, 3 R, 5 R, 6 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) -5 the coupling constants of $41.5,13.6$ and 11.0 Hz observed between $3-\mathrm{F}^{\alpha}$ and $4-\mathrm{H}^{\beta}$ at $\delta 2.32$, $4-\mathrm{H}^{\beta}$ and $5-\mathrm{H}$, and $5-\mathrm{H}$ and $6-\mathrm{H}$ are again indicative of axialaxial relationships between these atoms. The chiralities at $\mathrm{C}-5$ and C-6 are therefore $R$ and $S$, respectively.

In the two C-5 epimers $\left(2 S, 3 S, 5 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ - and $\left(2 S, 3 S, 5 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-6$, having, as do the following two derivatives, the C-2 and C-3 substituents trans-disposed, the NOEs observed between $2-\mathrm{H}^{\beta}$ and $9-\mathrm{H}_{3}$ ( 8.5 and $12 \%$, respectively), and $9-\mathrm{H}_{3}$ and $4-\mathrm{H}$ at $\delta 2.38$ and 2.51 ( 2.5 and $2 \%$, respectively) indicate that these protons are cis-disposed. Moreover, the vicinal couplings observed between $2-\mathrm{H}^{\beta}$ and $3-\mathrm{H}^{\alpha}, 3-\mathrm{H}^{\alpha}$ and $4-\mathrm{H}^{\beta}, 4-\mathrm{H}^{\beta}$ and $5-\mathrm{H}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 9.5\right.$, 10.1 and 13.8 Hz ) in the former compound and those observed between $2-\mathrm{H}^{\beta}$ and $3-\mathrm{H}^{\alpha}, 3-\mathrm{H}^{\alpha}$ and $4-\mathrm{H}^{\beta}$, and $4-\mathrm{H}^{\beta}$ and $5-\mathrm{H}\left({ }^{3} J\right.$ $9.2,9.9$ and 4.2 Hz ) in the latter require that both epimers preferentially adopt the chair conformation depicted in Fig. 2 in which the $\mathrm{C}-5$ substituent is, respectively, $\beta$-equatorially and $x$-axially disposed. It follows that the chirality at C-5 is $R$ and $S$, respectively.
An analogous conformation was found for the tetrahydropyran ring of ( $2 S, 3 S, 5 R, 6 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) -5 as evidenced by the axial-axial couplings of $9.5,10.0$ and 10.9 Hz observed between $2-\mathrm{H}^{\beta}$ and $3-\mathrm{H}^{\alpha}, 3-\mathrm{H}^{\alpha}$ and $4-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}$, and $5-\mathrm{H}^{\alpha}$ and $6 \cdot \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}$. These data permit the assignment of the chirality at C-5 and C-6 as $R$ and $S$.
In compound ( $2 S, 3 S, 5 S, 6 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}$ )-5 the NOEs observed between $6-\mathrm{H}$ and $7-\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{\alpha}(1.5 \%)$ and between $6-\mathrm{H}$ and $4-\mathrm{H}$ at $\delta$ $2.38(1 \%)$ indicate that these protons are on the same $\alpha$-face of the ring, thus allowing the assignment of the chirality at C-6 as


| $\left(2 S, 3 S, 5 R, 6 S, R_{S}\right)-5$ | $R^{1}=R^{3}=H, R^{2}=\mathrm{HgCl}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\left(2 S, 3 S, 5 R, R_{S}\right)-6$ | $R^{1}=H, R^{2}=\mathrm{HgCl}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Me}$ |
| $\left(2 S, 3 S, 5 S, R_{S}\right)-6$ | $R^{1}=\mathrm{HgCl}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Me}$ |


Chair form B
$\left(2 S, 3 S, 5 S, 6 R, R_{S}\right)-5$

Fig. 2 Preferred conformations for tetrahydropyrans 5 and 6 having $\mathrm{C}-2$ and C-3 substituents trans-disposed
$R$. In addition, irradiation of the $8 \beta$-methyl protons caused enhancements of the signals for $2-\mathrm{H}^{\beta}(1.5 \%)$ and $4-\mathrm{H}^{\beta}(1 \%)$. These findings are in accord with a rapid equilibrium between the two chair conformations A and B shown in Fig. 2. Moreover, the couplings of 4.2 and 6.2 Hz observed between $2-\mathrm{H}^{B}$ and $3-\mathrm{H}^{\alpha}$, and $3-\mathrm{H}^{\alpha}$ and $4-\mathrm{H}^{\beta}$ indicate that the form B in which these two pairs of protons are trans-equatorially disposed is the preferred one. Finally, the couplings of 9.7 and 7.5 Hz , observed between $4-\mathrm{H}^{\alpha}$ and $5-\mathrm{H}$, and $5-\mathrm{H}$ and $6-\mathrm{H}^{\alpha}$, indicate these protons are trans-disposed and hence that the chirality at C-5 is $S$.

In the tetrahydrofuran $\left(2 S, 3 S, 5 S, 7 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-4$ the NOEs observed between $5-\mathrm{H}$ and $6 \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{\alpha}$ and vice versa ( 1 and $2.5 \%$ ) require that these protons are $c i s$-disposed. The chirality at $\mathrm{C}-5$ is therefore $R$.
In compound ( $2 S, 3 R, 5 S, 7 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) -4 the NOE observed between $2-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{P}}$ and the $4-\mathrm{H}$ at $\delta 1.81(1.5 \%)$ indicates that the two protons are both $\beta$-disposed. Moreover, $4-\mathrm{H}^{\beta}$ presented couplings of 40.5 and 10.5 Hz with $3-\mathrm{F}^{\alpha}$ and $5-\mathrm{H}$. Vicinal couplings of this magnitude can be associated with two pseudoaxial-pseudoaxial relationships, hence the preferred conformation of the tetrahydrofuran ring must be as shown in Fig. 3, with the C-5 substituent $\beta$-pseudoequatorially disposed. Thus the chirality at C-5 is $S$.

Analogously, the values of 37.0 and 10.8 Hz exhibited by the $4-\mathrm{H}$ at $\delta 1.68$ with $3-\mathrm{F}^{\beta}$ and $5-\mathrm{H}$, respectively, in compound $\left(2 S, 3 S, 5 R, 7 S, R_{\mathrm{s}}\right)-4$ require the tetrahydrofuran ring preferentially to adopt the half-chair-like conformation shown in Fig. 3 in which these atoms are pseudoaxially disposed. It follows that the C - 5 substituent is $\alpha$-pseudoequatorially disposed and, as a consequence, that the chirality at $\mathrm{C}-5$ is $R$.

In the last diastereoisomer, $\left(2 S, 3 R, 5 R, 7 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-4$, the NOE observed for $2-\mathrm{H}^{\beta}$ upon saturation of $4-\mathrm{H}$ at $\delta 2.51(1 \%)$ means that the two protons are on the same $\beta$-face of the ring. Moreover, the fact that $5-\mathrm{H}$ showed a significant NOE to $4-\mathrm{H}^{B}$ $(2 \%)$ but no effect to $4-\mathrm{H}^{\alpha}$ suggests that $5-\mathrm{H}$ is on the $\beta$-face too and that the chirality of $\mathrm{C}-5$ is $R$.
The absolute configuration at C-7 in the tetrahydrofurans 4 was assigned on the basis of the documented preference for anti attack in electrophilic addition of unstrained olefins by mercury(II) species. ${ }^{11}$ The NOEs observed for $5-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}$ ( 4 and $4.5 \%$, respectively) and $4-\mathrm{H}_{2}(1-3 \%)$ upon irradiation of the 8 -methyl protons, and the lack of measurable NOEs between $4-\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $7-\mathrm{H}$, together with the coupling-constant values of 4.7 and 5.0 Hz observed, respectively, between $5-\mathrm{H}^{\beta}$ and $7-\mathrm{H}$, suggest that in $\left(2 S, 3 R, 5 R, 7 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ - and ( $2 S, 3 S, 5 R, 7 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) -4 the chirality at C 7 is $S$, the preferred conformation of the $\mathrm{C}-5$ side-chain around

$\left(2 S, 3 S, 5 R, 7 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-4$
Fig. 3 Preferred conformations for the $\left(2 S, 3 R .5 S, 7 R, R_{S}\right)$ - and ( $2 S, 3 S, 5 R, 7 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}$ )-tetrahydrofurans 4


Fig. 4 Preferred conformation of the C-5 side-chain around the C5C6 bond for the ( $2 S, 3 R, 5 R, 7 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}$ )- and ( $2 S, 3 S, 5 R, 7 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}$ )-tetrahydrofurans 4
the $C(5)-C(6)$ bond being as shown in Fig. 4. These results agree totally with the above chemical evidence.

## Experimental

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker CPX-300 or a Bruker AC 250 L spectrometer. $\mathrm{SiMe}_{4}$ was used as internal standard ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 0.00$ ) for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nuclei while $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ was used as internal standard ( $\delta_{\mathrm{F}}-162.90$ ) for ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ nuclei. $J$-Values are given in Hz . NOE difference spectra were obtained by subtracting, alternatively, right-off resonance-free induction decays (FIDs) from right-on resonance-induced FIDs. NOEValues reported in the text have only qualitative significance. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}$-Values were obtained on a Jasco DIP-181 polarimeter. M.p.s are uncorrected and were obtained on a capillary apparatus. TLC was run on silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ Merck. THF was freshly distilled from lithium aluminium hydride, and diisopropylamine was distilled from calcium hydride and stored over molecular sieves ( $4 \AA$ ). A $2.6 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}$ solution of butyllithium in hexanes (Aldrich) was employed. In other cases commercially available reagent-grade solvents were employed without purification.
(R)-1-Fluoro-3-[( $p$-tolyl)sulphinyl]acetone 1 was obtained through acylation of $(R)$-methyl $p$-tolyl sulphoxide with ethyl fluoroacetate as already described. ${ }^{6}$ CAUTION: It must be noted that ethyl fluoroacetate and compounds which upon decomposition may release fluoroacetic acid are potentially very toxic and should be handled with care inside a hood. The ratio of the diastereoisomeric chloromercurio compounds was established by HPLC on a Hibar Prepacked Column RT-Lichrosorb Si 60 ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) (Merck).

Synthesis of (3R)- and (3S)-3-Fluoro-1-[(R)-(p-tolyl)-sulphinyl]hept-5-en-2-one 2a.-A solution of LDA [prepared from diisopropylamine $\left(8.00 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 56.19 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and a solution of butyllithium ( $22.40 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 56.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ )] in THF ( $60 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated dropwise at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a solution of $\left(R_{\mathbf{S}}\right)-1(5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 23.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $\left(80 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. 1-Bromobut-2-ene ( $3.30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 28.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) neat was added to the yellow solution of the $\alpha$-sulphinyl anion at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the mixture had been stirred for 5 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, saturated aq. ammonium chloride was added. Extraction with ethyl acetate and removal of solvent under reduced pressure gave a residue $(7.00 \mathrm{~g})$. Compounds $(3 R)$ - and ( $3 S$ )-2a were isolated by flash chromatography (hexane-ethyl acetate, $6: 4$ ) in $1: 1$ ratio as yellow pale liquids: compound ( $3 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}$ )-2a $(2.38 \mathrm{~g}, 38 \%$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 62.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.3 . \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 62.69 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.34 \%$ ); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+128^{\circ}\left(c 1.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.57$ and $7.34(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 5.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dtq}, J 15.0,6.2$ and $1.3,6-\mathrm{H}), 5.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dtq}, J$ $15.0,6.8$ and $1.5,5-\mathrm{H}), 4.72(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 48.4,6.8$ and $4.4,3-\mathrm{H})$, $4.17\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.5\right.$ and $\left.3.4,1-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 3.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 14.5$ and 2.7 , $\left.1-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.45\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.42(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \operatorname{Ar} M e)$ and $1.63(3 \mathrm{H}$, ddt, $J 6.2,1.5$ and $\left.1.2,7-\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$; compound $\left(3 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-2 \mathrm{a}(2.38 \mathrm{~g}, 38 \%$ ) (Found: C, 62.7; H, 6.4\%); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+179^{\circ}\left(c 0.9, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.57$ and $7.35(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 5.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dtq}, J 15.0$, 6.3 and $1.3,6-\mathrm{H}), 5.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dtq}, J 15.0,6.8$ and $1.5,5-\mathrm{H}), 4.72(1$ H , ddd, $J 48.5,7.0$ and $4.6,3-\mathrm{H}), 4.03\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 1-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.47(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, 4-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.42(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar} M e)$ and $1.67(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddt}, J 6.3,1.5$ and $1,2,7-\mathrm{H}_{3}$ ).

Synthesis of (3R)- and (3S)-3-Fluoro-6-methyl-1-[(R)-(p-tolyl)sulphinyl]hept-5-en-2-one 2b.-In the same way starting from 1-bromo-3-methylbut-2-ene $\left(6.48 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 56.04 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ a residue ( 10.0 g ) was isolated which, after flash chromatography (hexane-ethyl acetate, 3:2) gave, in $1: 1$ ratio, as yellow pale liquids: compound ( $3 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}$ )-2b $(4.74 \mathrm{~g}, 36 \%$ ) (Found: C, $63.4 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.8. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{FSO}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 63.83 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.74 \%$ ); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+182^{\circ}$ $\left(c 0.7, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.57$ and $7.35(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \operatorname{ArH}), 5.03(1$ H , br $\mathrm{t}, J 7.4,5-\mathrm{H}), 4.72(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 49.0,6.3$ and $5.0,3-\mathrm{H}), 4.17$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd, $J 14.6$ and $\left.3.2,1-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 3.90(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 14.6$ and 2.7 , $\left.1-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.46\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.42(3 \mathrm{H}$, br s, ArMe) and 1.67 and $1.56\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 7-\right.$ and $\left.8-\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$; compound $\left(3 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-\mathbf{2 b}(4.74 \mathrm{~g}, 36 \%)$ (Found: C, 63.5; H, 6.8\%); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+141^{\circ}\left(c \quad 0.4, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.57$ and $7.34(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 5.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, J 7.4$, $5-\mathrm{H}), 4.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J 49.0$ and $5.9,3-\mathrm{H}), 4.04\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 1-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.52$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.42(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArMe})$ and 1.72 and $1.60(6$ H , br s, $7-$ and $8-\mathrm{H}_{3}$ ).

Synthesis of (2S,3S)- and (2S,3R)-3-Fluoro-1-[(R)-(p-tolyl)-sulphinyl]hept-5-en-2-ol 3a.-A solution of $\left(3 S, R_{\mathrm{s}}\right)-2 \mathrm{a}(0.90 \mathrm{~g}$, $3.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $\left(20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was cooled to $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated dropwise with a $1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ solution of DIBAL in hexane $(3.36$ $\mathrm{cm}^{3}, 3.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at the same temperature. After the reaction mixture had been stirred for 10 min at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, saturated aq. ammonium chloride was added, followed by $10 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}$ hydrochloric acid dropwise to pH 3 . Extraction with ethyl acetate and flash chromatography of the residue (pentanediethyl ether, 1:9) gave the title compound $\left(2 S, 3 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-3 \mathrm{a}(0.73 \mathrm{~g}$, $80 \%$ ), m.p. $114-115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 62.0 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.1$. $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{FSO}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 62.22 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.00 \%$ ); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+244^{\circ}(c$ $\left.1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.52$ and $7.36(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 5.45$ (dtq, $J 15.0,6.1$ and $1.3,6-\mathrm{H}), 5.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dtq}, J 15.0,6.8$ and 1.5 , $5-\mathrm{H}), 4.42(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J 47.4,7.3,5.8$ and $4.5,3-\mathrm{H}), 4.35(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 3.9$ and $0.7,2-\mathrm{OH}), 4.16(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddddd, $J 11.6,9.5,5.8,3.9$ and $1.8,2-\mathrm{H}), 3.15\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, ddd, $J 13.6,9.5$ and $\left.0.7,1-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.83(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 13.6,1.8$ and $\left.1.6,1-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.5-2.1\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.44(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{ArMe})$ and $1.62\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddt}, J 6.1,1.5\right.$ and $\left.1.2,7-\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ - 192.57.

Starting from $\left(3 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$-2a ( $0.90 \mathrm{~g}, 3.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), compound $\left(2 S, 3 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$-3a ( $0.77 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ) was obtained, m.p. $126-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 62.3 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.1 \%$ ); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+267^{\circ}(c 0.9$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.53$ and $7.36(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 5.56(1 \mathrm{H}$, dtq, $J 15.0,6.2$ and $1.3,6-\mathrm{H}), 5.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dtq}, J 15.0,6.8$ and 1.5 , $5-\mathrm{H}), 4.29(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J 47.5,7.8,5.4$ and $2.8,3-\mathrm{H}), 4.25(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddddd, $J 22.3,10.3,4.7,2.8$ and $1.9,2-\mathrm{H}), 3.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 4.7$, $2-\mathrm{OH}), 3.18\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd, $J 13.3$ and $\left.10.3,1-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 13.3$ and $\left.1.9,1-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.5-2.2\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.43(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar} M e)$ and $1.65\left(3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, ddt, $J 6.2,1.5$ and 1.2, $\left.7-\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)-196.18$.

Synthesis of (2S,3S)- and (2S,3R)-3-Fluoro-6-methyl-1-[(R)-(p-tolyl)sulphinyl]hept-5-en-2-ol 3b.-A solution of (3S)-3-fluoro-6-methyl-1-[(R)-(p-tolyl)sulphinyl]hept-5-en-2-one $\mathbf{2 b}$ $(0.74 \mathrm{~g}, 2.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $\left(16 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was cooled to $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated dropwise with a $1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}$ solution of DIBAL in hexane ( $2.62 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 2.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at the same temperature. After the reaction mixture had been stirred for 10 min at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, saturated aq. ammonium chloride was added, followed by 10 $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}$ hydrochloric acid to pH 3 . Extraction with ethyl acetate and flash chromatography of the residue (hexane-ethyl acetate, $1: 1$ ) gave compound ( $2 S, 3 S, R_{\mathbf{S}}$ )-3b $(0.63 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ), m.p. 89-90 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 63.3 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.3 . \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FSO}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 63.38 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.39 \%$ ); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+97^{\circ}\left(c \quad 0.6, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.53$ and $7.37(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 5.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tqq}, J 7.2$, 1.5 and $1.5,5-\mathrm{H}), 4.42(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J 48.0,7.2,5.9$ and $5.0,3-\mathrm{H})$, $4.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, 2-\mathrm{OH}), 4.17(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J 11.8,9.5,5.9$ and 1.9 , 2H), $3.16\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, ddd, $J 13.7,9.5$ and $\left.0.7,1-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.83(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J$ 13.7, 1.9 and 1.6, $\left.1-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.5-2.1\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.44(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{ArMe})$ and 1.67 and $1.57\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 7\right.$ - and $\left.8-\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
-191.95. Starting from $\left(3 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-\mathbf{2 b}(0.33 \mathrm{~g}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol})$, compound $\left(2 S, 3 R, R_{\mathbf{S}}\right)-3 \mathrm{~b}\left(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%\right.$ ), m.p. $135-136^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) (Found: C, 63.35; H, 7.3\%); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+107^{\circ}(c \quad 0.4$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.53$ and $7.36(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 5.08(1 \mathrm{H}$, tqq, $J 7.2,1.5$ and $1.5,5-\mathrm{H}), 4.28(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J 47.5,7.8,5.4$ and 2.9, 3-H ), 4.24 ( 1 H , dddd, $J 22.1,10.4,2.9$ and $2.1,2-\mathrm{H}$ ), $3.50(1$ H , br, $2-\mathrm{OH}), 3.19\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 13.5\right.$ and $\left.10.5,1-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}}\right), 2.72(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 13.5$ and $\left.2.0,1-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}\right), 2.6-2.2\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.43(3 \mathrm{H}$, br $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar} M e$ ) and 1.69 and $1.62\left(6 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br s, 7- and $\left.8-\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)-195.40$.

Synthesis of $\left(2 \mathrm{~S}, 3 \mathrm{~S}, 5 \mathrm{R}, 7 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{S}}\right)-$ and $\left(2 \mathrm{~S}, 3 \mathrm{~S}, 5 \mathrm{~S}, 7 \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{S}}\right)-5-(1-$ Chloromercurioethyl)-3-fluoro-2-(p-tolylsulphinylmethyl)tetrahydrofuran 4 and of $\left(2 \mathrm{~S}, 3 \mathrm{~S}, 5 \mathrm{~S}, 6 \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ - and $\left(2 \mathrm{~S}, 3 \mathrm{~S}, 5 \mathrm{R}, 6 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-5-$ Chloromercurio-3-fluoro-6-methyl-2-(p-tolylsulphinylmethyl)tetrahydropyran * 5.-A solution of mercury(II) trifluoroacetate $(0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 1.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF $\left(5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added to a solution of $\left(2 S, 3 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-\mathbf{3 a}(0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the same solvent $\left(5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was stirred for $c a .10 \mathrm{~min}$ until the starting product was completely used up (TLC; hexaneethyl acetate, $1: 1$ ). Aq. potassium chloride $(0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 1.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ) was then added, after which the mixture was stirred for 10 min, diluted with water $\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, and extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. Benzene ( $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added to the residue and was then evaporated off. Flash chromatography (ethyl acetate-hexane, $53: 47$ ) gave, in order, compound $\left(2 S, 3 S, 5 R, 7 S, R_{\mathbf{S}}\right)-4(0.38 \mathrm{~g}$, $75.2 \%$ ), m.p. $178-180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOAc) (Found: C, 33.6; $\mathrm{H}, 3.7 . \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClFHgSO}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 33.30 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.57 \%$; $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 96.23$ (dd, $\left.{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{F}} 182.3, \mathrm{C}-3\right), 82.75$ (d, C-5), 79.33 (dd, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{F}} 28.2, \mathrm{C}-2\right), 61.92\left(\mathrm{dt},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{F}} 9.2, \mathrm{C}-6\right), 53.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-7), 40.90(\mathrm{dt}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{F}} 19.8, \mathrm{C}-4\right)$ and $16.61(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-8) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+137.5^{\circ}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; compound $\left(2 S, 3 S, 5 R, 6 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-5(0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 8.1 \%)$, m.p. $183-185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOAc) (Found: C, 33.5; H, 3.6\%); [ $\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+119.7^{\circ}$ (c 0.7, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; compound $\left(2 S, 3 S, 5 S, 6 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-5(0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 10.9 \%$ ), m.p. $162-163{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOAc) (Found: C, 33.4; H, 3.6\%); [ $\left.\alpha\right]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+$ $74^{\circ}\left(c 1.4, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; compound $\left(2 S, 3 S, 5 S, 7 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-4(0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 5.8 \%)$, m.p. $160-161^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOAc) (Found: C, $33.4 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.6 \%$ ); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}$ $+155.4^{\circ}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The diastereoisomeric ratios and the $t_{\mathrm{R}^{-}}$ values were determined by HPLC (hexane-ethyl acetate, 1:4); $\left(2 S, 3 S, 5 R, 7 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-4, t_{\mathrm{R}} 5.06 \mathrm{~min} ;\left(2 S, 3 S, 5 R, 6 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-5, t_{\mathrm{R}} 5.48$ $\min ;\left(2 S, 3 S, 5 S, 6 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-5, t_{\mathrm{R}} 6.82 \mathrm{~min} ;\left(2 S, 3 S, 5 S, 7 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-4, t_{\mathrm{R}} 7.42$ $\mathrm{min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data are reported in Tables $1-3$.

Synthesis of ( $2 \mathrm{~S}, 3 \mathrm{R}, 5 \mathrm{~S}, 7 \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{S}}$ )- and (2S,3R,5R,7S, $\left.\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{S}}\right)-5-$ (1-Chloromercurioethyl)-3-fluoro-2-(p-tolylsulphinylmethyl)tetrahydrofuran 4 and of $\left(2 S, 3 R, 5 R, 6 S, R_{S}\right)$-5-Chloromercurio-3-fluoro-6-methyl-2-(p-tolylsulphinylmethyl)tetrahydropyran* 5.-The same procedure, applied to $\left(2 S, 3 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$-3a gave, after flash chromatography (hexane-ethyl acetate, 1:1), in order: compound $\left(2 S, 3 R, 5 S, 7 R, R_{S}\right)-4\left(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 50.3 \%\right.$ ), m.p. $166-167^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOAc) (Found: C, 33.4; H, 3.6\%); $\delta_{\left.\mathbf{C l}^{( } \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 94.79}$ (dd, ${ }^{1} J_{\text {C.F }} 183.1, \mathrm{C}-3$ ), 82.22 (d, C-5), 75.55 (dd, ${ }^{2} J_{\text {C.F }} 19.1, \mathrm{C}-2$ ), $58.26\left(\mathrm{dt},{ }^{3} J_{\text {C.F }} 9.2\right.$, C-6), 52.95 (d, C-7), 41.41 (dt, ${ }^{2} J_{\text {C.F }} 21.4$, C-4) and $16.89(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-8) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+127.3^{\circ}\left(c 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ compound $\left(2 S, 3 R, 5 R, 6 S, R_{\mathbf{S}}\right)-5(0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 33 \%)$, m.p. $75-77^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOAc) (Found: C, $33.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.7 \%$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 87.91$ (dd, ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{F}} 180.1, \mathrm{C}$ 3), 76.87 (d, C-6), 72.04 (dd, ${ }^{2} J_{\text {C.F }} 18.5, \mathrm{C}-2$ ), 60.78 (dt, ${ }^{3} J_{\text {C.F }} 3.1$, C-7), 50.53 (d, C-5), 35.09 (dt, ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{F}} 22.1, \mathrm{C}-4$ ) and 24.52 (q, C-8); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+111.0^{\circ}\left(c \quad 1.6, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; compound $\left(2 S, 3 R, 5 R, 7 S, R_{\mathbf{s}}\right)-4$ ( $0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 16.7 \%$ ), m.p. $174-175^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOAc) (Found: C, 33.3; $\mathrm{H}, 3.6 \%$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 94.62$ (dd, ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{F}} 183.1, \mathrm{C}-3$ ), 82.14 (d,

[^1]C-5), 75.97 (dd, ${ }^{2} J_{\text {C.F }} 19.8, \mathrm{C}-2$ ), 57.42 (dt, ${ }^{3} J_{\text {C.F }} 6.1, \mathrm{C}-6$ ), 53.92 (d, C-7), 40.03 (dt, ${ }^{3} J_{\text {C.F }} 20.6, \mathrm{C}-4$ ) and 16.50 (q, C-8); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}$ $+121^{\circ}\left(c 0.6, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The diastereoisomeric ratios and the $t_{\mathrm{R}^{-}}$ values were determined by HPLC (hexane-ethyl acetate, 1:4): $\left(2 S, 3 R, 5 S, 7 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-4, t_{\mathrm{R}} 8.05 \mathrm{~min} ;\left(2 S, 3 R, 5 R, 6 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-5, t_{\mathrm{R}} 11.70$ $\mathrm{min} ;\left(2 S, 3 R, 5 R, 7 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-4, t_{\mathrm{R}} 14.87 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data are reported in Tables 1-3.

Synthesis of ( $2 \mathrm{~S}, 3 \mathrm{~S}, 5 \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}$ )- and ( $2 \mathrm{~S}, 3 \mathrm{~S}, 5 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ )-5-Chloro-mercurio-3-fluoro-6,6-dimethyl-2-(p-tolylsulphinylmethyl)-
tetrahydropyran* 6.-The same procedure, applied to ( $2 S, 3 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) $\mathbf{3 b}$ gave, after flash chromatography (hexane-ethyl acetate, $55: 45$ ), in order: compound $\left(2 S, 3 S, 5 R, R_{\mathrm{s}}\right)-6(0.39 \mathrm{~g}$, $76.7 \%$ ), m.p. 157-159 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOAc) (Found: C, 34.6; H, 3.95. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{ClFHgSO}_{2}$ requires C, $34.71 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.85 \%$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 90.50 (dd, ${ }^{1} J_{\text {C.F }} 184.6, \mathrm{C}-3$ ), 76.11 (s, C-6), 66.98 (dd, ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{F}} 24.4$, C-2), 60.95 (dt, ${ }^{3} J_{\text {C.F }} 2.2, \mathrm{C}-7$ ), 57.54 (dd, ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{F}} 7.6, \mathrm{C}-5$ ), 33.13 (dt, ${ }^{2} J_{\text {C.F }} 18.3, \mathrm{C}-4$ ), 32.52 (q, C-8) and 25.16 (q, C-9); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}$ $+159^{\circ}\left(c \quad 1.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; compound $\left(2 S, 3 S, 5 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-6(0.12 \mathrm{~g}$, $23.3 \%$ ), m.p. $156-157^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from EtOAc- $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \sim 1: 1$ ) (Found: C, $34.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.8 \%$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 90.51$ (dd, ${ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{F}} 183.1, \mathrm{C}-3$ ), 76.31 (s, C-6), 67.36 (dd, ${ }^{2} J_{\text {C.F }} 24.4, \mathrm{C}-2$ ), 61.15 (dt, ${ }^{3} J_{\text {C.F }} 2.2, \mathrm{C}-7$ ), 60.84 (dd, ${ }^{3} J_{\text {C.F }} 9.2, \mathrm{C}-5$ ), 34.87 (q, C-8), 32.47 (dt, ${ }^{2} J_{\text {C.F }} 19.8, \mathrm{C}-4$ ) and 23.07 (q, C-9); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+121^{\circ}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The diastereoisomeric ratios and the $t_{\mathrm{R}}$-values were determined by HPLC (hexane-ethyl acetate, $1: 4$ ): $\left(2 S, 3 S, 5 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-6, t_{\mathrm{R}} 4.52 \mathrm{~min}$; $\left(2 S, 3 S, 5 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-6, t_{\mathrm{R}} 5.61 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data are reported in Tables 2 and 3.

Synthesis of $\left(2 \mathrm{~S}, 3 \mathrm{R}, 5 \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{S}}\right)$ - and ( $2 \mathrm{~S}, 3 \mathrm{R}, 5 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{S}}$ )-5-Chloro-mercurio-3-fluoro-6,6-dimethyl-2-(p-tolylsulphinylmethyl)tetrahydropyran *6.-The same procedure applied to $\left(2 S, 3 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ 3b gave, after flash chromatography (hexane-ethyl acetate, 1:4), in order: compound $\left(2 S, 3 R, 5 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-6(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 96.6 \%)$, m.p. $172-$ $174{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from acetone) (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 35.0 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.9 \%$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 87.79 (dd, ${ }^{1} J_{\text {C.F }} 180.1$, C-3), 75.93 (s, C-6), 65.90 (dd, ${ }^{2} J_{\text {C.F }} 19.8$, C2), $60.75\left(\mathrm{dt},{ }^{3} J_{\text {C.F }} 3.0, \mathrm{C}-7\right), 54.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-5), 33.25$ (q, C-8), 31.99 $\left(\mathrm{dt},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{F}} 21.4, \mathrm{C}-4\right)$ and $24.55(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-9) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+129^{\circ}(c 0.7$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); compound ( $2 S, 3 R, 5 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}$ )-6 ( $0.02 \mathrm{~g}, 3.4 \%$ ), m.p. $178-$ $179{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from acetone) (Found: $\left.\mathrm{C}, 34.85 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.9 \%\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 85.46 (dd, ${ }^{1} J_{\text {C.F }} 176.2, \mathrm{C}-3$ ), 72.08 (s, C-6), 71.99 (dd, ${ }^{2} J_{\text {C.F }} 18.3$, C2), 62.84 (dt, ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}} 6.5, \mathrm{C}-7$ ), 31.15 (q, C-8), 30.11 (t, C-5), 25.15 (dt, ${ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{F}} 22.1, \mathrm{C}-4$ ) and 21.32 (q, C-9); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+112^{\circ}(c$ $0.6, \mathrm{MeOH})$. The diastereoisomeric ratios and the $t_{\mathrm{R}}$-values were determined by HPLC (hexane-ethyl acetate, 1:4): $\left(2 S, 3 R, 5 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-6, t_{\mathrm{R}} 7.68 \mathrm{~min}$; $\left(2 S, 3 R, 5 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-6, t_{\mathrm{R}} 9.29 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data are reported in Tables 2 and 3.

Synthesis of ( $2 \mathrm{~S}, 3 \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}$ )- and ( $2 \mathrm{~S}, 3 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}$ )-3-Fluoro-6,6-dimethyl-2-(p-tolylsulphinylmethyl)tetrahydropyran* 8.-To a solution of $\left(2 S, 3 R, 5 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-6(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 3.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 55 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ) (sparged with Ar for 30 min ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise a solution of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 5.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ aq. sodium hydroxide ( $55 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). Metallic mercury precipitated out immediately. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, acetic acid was added dropwise to pH 6 , the mercury $(0)$ was filtered off, and extraction of the filtrate with dichloromethane, evaporation of the extract under reduced pressure, and crystallization of the residue ( $\operatorname{Pr}_{2}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{O}$ ) gave compound $\left(2 S, 3 R, R_{\mathrm{s}}\right)-8\left(0.92 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%\right.$ ), m.p. $129-131^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from $\mathrm{Pr}_{2}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{O}$ ) (Found: C, 63.3; H, 7.2. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FSO}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 63.38 ; \mathrm{H}$, 7.39); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{2 \mathrm{O}}+216^{\circ}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data are reported in Table 2.

The same procedure, applied to $\left(2 S, 3 S, 5 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)-6$, afforded, after flash chromatography of the residue (hexane-ethyl acetate, $3: 2$ ), compound ( $2 S, 3 S, R_{\mathrm{S}}$ )-8 $\left(0.99 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%\right.$ ), m.p. $97-99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from $\mathrm{Pr}_{2}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{O}$ ) (Found: C, 62.9 ; H, $7.3 \%$ ); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+248^{\circ}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data are reported in Table 2.

Synthesis of (2R,3R)- and (2R,3S)-3-Fluoro-2-hydroxymethyl-6,6-dimethyltetrahydropyran* 11.-To a solution of $\left(2 S, 3 R, R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ $8(0.47 \mathrm{~g}, 1.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $2,4,6$-trimethylpyridine $\left(0.44 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 3.30\right.$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $\left(15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise a solution of TFAA $\left(0.34 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 2.48 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in THF $\left(4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. After the starting product had been completely consumed (TLC; hexane-ethyl acetate, $3: 2$ ) solid $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (to $\mathrm{pH} 7-8$ ) and a solution of mercury(II) chloride $(0.62 \mathrm{~g}, 2.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile ( $4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) were added to the reactants at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 2 h . The white, precipitated mercury(ii) sulphide was then filtered off, the solution was cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a suspension of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ $(0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 3.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added dropwise at the same temperature. Acetic acid was then added to $\mathrm{pH} 3-4$, acetonitrile was evaporated off under reduced pressure, the residue was diluted with ethyl acetate-water, and the organic phase was separated. Flash chromatography (hexane-ethyl acetate, $3: 7$ ) gave compound $(2 R, 3 R)-11(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%)$, m.p. $36-$ $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 59.2 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.1 . \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{FO}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 59.26 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.26 \%) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+11^{\circ}\left(c 0.7, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data are reported in Table 2.

The same procedure, applied to $\left(2 S, 3 S, R_{\mathbf{S}}\right)-8$ gave, after flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{EtOAc}, 3: 7\right)(2 R, 3 S)-11(0.17 \mathrm{~g}$, $64 \%$ ), m.p. $52-53{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from $\operatorname{Pr}_{2}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{O}$ ) (Found: C, $59.35 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.2 \%$ ); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+59^{\circ}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{Hg}_{\mathrm{g}}}^{20}+173^{\circ}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data are reported in Table 2.

## References

1 Part 16: P. Bravo, M. Frigerio and G. Resnati, J. Org. Chem., 1990, 55, 4216; Part 15: R. Bernardi, P. Bravo, R. Cardillo, D. Ghiringhelli and G. Resnati, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1990, 579
2 P. A. Bartlett, in Asymmetric Sinthesis, ed. J. D. Morrison, Academic, Orlando, 1984, vol. 3, pp. 342, 411; T. L. B. Boivin, Tetrahedron, 1987, 43, 3309.
3 P. Bravo, M. Frigerio, G. Resnati, F. Viani and A. Arnone, Gazz. Chim. Ital., 1990, 120, 275.
4 A. Arnone, P. Bravo, M. Frigerio, G. Resnati and F. Viani, J. Chem. Res. (S), 1989, 278; P. Bravo, G. Resnati, F. Viani and A. Arnone, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1989, 839; P. Bravo, F. Ganazzoli, G. Resnati, F. Viani and A. Arnone, Gazz. Chim. Ital., 1988, 118, 457.
5 P. Bravo, E. Piovosi and G. Resnati, J. Chem. Res. (S), 1989, 134
6 P. Bravo, E. Piovosi and G. Resnati, Synthesis, 1986, 579.
7 J. E. Baldwin, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1976, 734.
8 R. C. Larock, in Solvomercuration/Demercuration Reactions in Organic Synthesis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985, p. 276 and references cited.
9 P. Bravo, E. Piovosi and G. Resnati, Gazz. Chim. Ital.. 1988, 118, 115.
10 S. Masamune, S. Asrof Ali, D. L. Snitman and D. S. Garvey, Angew: Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1980, 19, 557.
11 P. A. Bartlett and J. L. Adams, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 337; R. C. Fahey, Top. Stereochem., 1968, 3, 237; W. Kitching, Organomet. Chem. Rev., 1968, 3 (1), 61-133; N. S. Zefirov, Russ. Chem. Rev. (Engl. Transl.), 1965, 34, 527.

Paper 0/04303F
Received 24th September 1990
Accepted 18th December 1990


[^0]:    $\dagger$ We have already reported ${ }^{4}$ how under the same reaction conditions both diastereoisomeric 3-fluoro-1-sulphinylhexenols 3c, having opposite configurations at C-3, cyclize to give exclusively the tetrahydrofurans 7 through a 5-exo-trig process.

[^1]:    * Non-systematic nomenclature, retained to provide consistency in numbering schemes of the ring systems.

